Affidavit of Truth and Public Mandate

13-Section Declaration of Lawful Succession & Systemic Correction

Submitted by: Samantha Korich

Acting as Chief Facilitator of the People's Will

Dated: October 23, 2025

Overview:

This is a formal declaration of truth is in response to a declaration of resignation made by a member of the peoples government while under Oath of Office. Since is treated as acquiesces in law, so I am breaking that silence formally and legally. (Notarized affidavit of truth.)

The purpose of this Declaration of truth and public mandate is to formally break the silence, acknowledge and accept the resignation of the President of the United Sates of America and commander in Chief. (now former) And to submit the acceptance into public record. I've outlined the legal grounds to do so in this affidavit.

Table of contents:

Section 1: Legal Resignation and Non-Rebuttal of Administration

Section 2: Constitutional Grounds for Stepping into the Seat

Section 3: Dangers of a Power Vacuum

Section 4: Legal Nullification of the NPA & Co-Conspirator Clause

Section 5: Threats to the People (Video Evidence & Troop Deployment)

Section 6: Mental & Physical Health Neglect

Section 7: Illegality of Satire from the Commander-in-Chief

Section 8: Wordplay, Legalese, and Political Theater as Manipulation

Section 9: Indoctrination from Childhood

Section 10: Rejection of the 'Understand' Trap

Section 11: Restoration of the People's Sovereignty

Section 12: Disconnection from Maritime Law Jurisdiction

Section 13: Declaration Standing Until Legally Disproved in Full

Section X: Address for rebuttal or response

Section 1: Legal Resignation and Non-Rebuttal of Administration

This affidavit of truth affirms in lawful standing that the former President of the United States effectively resigned from office through a series of public statements and actions. He also resigned on behalf of those in his administration who fall under the "we". These statements were made in a formal capacity, shared knowingly, and distributed to the American people and military personnel under oath of office. No subsequent correction or clarification was issued. No member of his administration, not the Vice President, Cabinet, Chief of Staff, or White House Counsel, issued any statement challenging, correcting, or rebutting the President's declaration. In law, this silence is treated as confirmation, under the doctrine of acquiescence and estoppel. Furthermore,
At no point was this seat protected, filled, or lawfully guarded following the resignation. For over two weeks, no one claimed responsibility, leaving the role dangerously open during a time of social instability, military tension, and widespread public confusion.

In legal and constitutional terms, this constitutes a voluntary abdication of duty. Under Article II, Section 1 of the United States Constitution, the Executive power must be held by an active and participating officer. If the President formally states his intent to no longer perform the role, the position is vacated, not symbolically, but functionally and lawfully. It is done, there is no undoing what he did. It is legally binding. This form is not a request for anything. It is the lawful submission of a truth that is of special interest to the public.

This affidavit of truth and public mandate serves to affirm that the President of the United States, through his own public declarations and actions, has effectively resigned from his duties as Commander-in-Chief. This affidavit is me, Samantha Korich, a living human, formally accepting that resignation.

On (or around) October 5, 2025, a speech was given directed at the United States Navy, on national television for the world to see, on the "largest Navy base" where the President was formally announced and publicly stated, under Oath of office that "we're not politically correct anymore, so you understand. " These declarations constitute an explicit abdication of the office, as understood under both constitutional law and legal precedent. In response is say:

"No, I do NOT 'understand.' But I do comprehend the 'game' you're attempting to play. I do not stand under you and on behalf of we the People of these UNITED States, (via declarations made in the street and statements made on signs, and posts made online in various forums for the People.) They do NOT stand under you either! We the People do not stand below our come after our servants, you are no king! You have no power! There are no kings here!" "I hereby formally and legally accept your resignation and fill your empty seat on behalf of the people immediately!" -Samantha Korich

This affidavit is not a claim of power, but a record of what occurred, and a peaceful, lawful response to that abandonment. I, Samantha Korich, did not "take" the seat. The seat was left empty. And after waiting for lawful rebuttal or restoration and receiving none, I accepted what had already been done.

This action is taken not for personal gain, but for the protection of the people. It is submitted with full transparency, and under penalty of perjury, in accordance with the Constitution, and with respect for all who may review, question, or seek to lawfully rebut this affidavit.

— Samantha Korich

LEGAL BASIS AND REFERENCES (not limited to):

- Under Article II, Section 1 of the U.S. Constitution, the Executive power must be actively held and maintained. A voluntary abandonment, coupled with a failure to fulfill legal obligations, is legally interpreted as a resignation.
- The Uniform Code of Military Justice (UCMJ) and related federal provisions establish that command must never be left ambiguous, particularly in times of unrest or public danger.

CASE LAW & STATUTES:

- United States v. Perkins, 116 U.S. 483 (1886): An official's resignation is be deemed complete upon intent and communication, even without formal delivery. A resignation is complete upon public expression of intent.
- Marbury v. Madison, 5 U.S. (1 Cranch) 137 (1803): Once an official act (resignation or abandonment) is made public, its legal standing is effective and binding unless rebutted.
- Federal Vacancies Reform Act of 1998, 5 U.S.C. § 3345–3349d: If the head of an executive agency ceases to perform functions of the office, the office is declared vacant. Failure to perform duties constitutes vacancy. Legal effect attaches to public actions of officeholders when unchallenged.

NON-REBUTTAL:

The President's entire administration has remained silent following his public declaration. Silence in law, especially from those in positions of public duty, is considered acquiescence when response is both possible and expected. This legal doctrine, known as Estoppel by Acquiescence, holds that silence in the face of a legal breach signals agreement with the underlying premise.

PUBLIC RECORD:

As of October 22, 2025, no formal rebuttal has been issued by any active member of the

former President's cabinet, press secretary, or acting counsel both in regards to his individual resignation as well as his resignation on behalf of his entire administration as he proclaimed " 'We're not" therefore formally reassigning on behalf of his entire administration and I formally accept them all as former members of government. It is done. He then added further insult and injury with threatening video messages and continuous mockery and slander in response to their cries. Therefore, I, Samantha Korich, acting under lawful authority granted by the Constitution and the People, do hereby declare that the office of the President of the United States was vacated and left unguarded. I further declare that I now fill the vacated seat as new commander in chief and president of the United States of America. In doing so we must fill the positions of office that have been left vacated by the former president immediately and recreate a government that truly serves all people's interest.

I affirm that this declaration is made under penalty of perjury and that all facts stated herein are true, correct, and provable in a court of law and I'm prepared to do so.

> "The seat belongs to the people, all of them, all races and ethnicities, all orientations and gender identities, all religions and spiritual paths, all mental and physical conditions all ages, all work backgrounds, all those who are no longer here, the forgotten and the voiceless, the ones who never gave up hope.
>
> This is for you. I merely hold this place in obligated protection and this affidavit stands as further guard until order is restored. And should anything happen to me, this lawful truth and declaration will hold the people's will firmly above all others. It is done. "

Section 2: Constitutional Grounds for Stepping into the Seat

Upon the voluntary abandonment of office by the former President, and the subsequent non-response of all administration officials, the seat of executive power was left unguarded. The Constitution of the United States does not permit an unfilled executive seat, especially during a time of national or global uncertainty.

In accordance with the foundational principles of the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, and common law doctrine, the People retain ultimate authority. When a vacancy is created and not addressed through formal succession, and no lawful protections are enacted by government representatives, the People may, and must, step in to prevent collapse, confusion, or foreign intervention.

Nowhere in the Constitution does it say that only a politician may serve. Nowhere does it prohibit a civilian from answering the call when the lawful government has effectively resigned. I stepped in not as a usurper, but as a witness to abandonment. This action is not about personal power. It is about continuity of duty, national protection, lawful documentation and the preservation of the human spirit.

HISTORICAL REFERENCE:

- The Declaration of Independence (1776) affirms that when a government becomes destructive or non-responsive, the People have the right and duty to institute new governance.
- In Luther v. Borden (1849), the court recognized the People's authority to decide how they are governed during crisis or abandonment.
- Common law principles uphold lawful necessity and duty to protect the whole.

I, Samantha Korich, affirm that this action is lawful, peaceful, protective, and temporary. This is not a bid for prolonged power, but a corrective step to secure safety and continuity until lawful review or replacement by the People occurs.

Section 3: Dangers of a Power Vacuum

To leave the presidential seat empty during an ongoing political, economic, and psychological crisis constitutes an act of reckless endangerment to the People. The resignation of the former President, when left unacknowledged or uncorrected, effectively opened the doors for foreign manipulation, domestic unrest, and psychological instability across the population.

Such a vacuum creates confusion among the military, legal, and civil structures, who to follow, who speaks for **the People**, and who holds lawful command. In absence of a declaration, ANYONE could step in. Whether with good intent or malicious design. History has shown repeatedly that power vacuums invite tyranny, coups, or internal implosion.

LEGAL CONCERN:

- Under constitutional law and common-sense governance, leaving a seat of national power unattended is a violation of the executive's duty to maintain public order and national security.
- Under 18 U.S.C. § 2385, it is unlawful to advocate or enable the overthrow of the government by force. Silence and abdication during active duty is arguably worse: it creates a breach without guidance.

This section is not written in speculation. It reflects the lived truth of the American people who watched their leader walk away and joke while doing so. I did not allow that vacuum to grow because I know what happens in history when leadership steps away and no one steps up.

I stepped in not to control, but to prevent collapse and further corruption. I held the line. And now, I document that line.

Section 4: Legal Nullification of the NPA & Co-Conspirator Clause

The 2006–2008 Non-Prosecution Agreement (NPA), signed between multiple parties in the federal government and Jeffrey Epstein's legal team, is hereby declared legally and morally null and void. This agreement, negotiated in secret and later falsified and sealed, actively prevented victims from being notified, sent fake correspondence, silenced public outcry, and withheld the prosecution of named and unnamed co-conspirators. Creating an unlimited blanket of protection for countless parasites and pedophiles. The failure to protect the most innocent and vulnerable citizens is unacceptable on every level.

This action directly violates both the Crime Victims' Rights Act (CVRA) and constitutional standards of due process. The victims were denied their right to be heard. Justice was evaded, and the public was intentionally deceived, redirected and manipulated.

The NPA was not lawfully constructed:

- It was executed without proper judicial oversight.
- It violated federal standards requiring victim notification under the CVRA (18 U.S.C. § 3771).
- It effectively protected accomplices of one of the most notorious sex traffickers in modern history.

The "co-conspirator clause" embedded in the NPA acted as a shield for abusers (not just legally) and a sword against the truth, accountability and justice. To this day, the full list of Epstein's co-conspirators and affiliations, has not been released, which constitutes a cover-up of systemic abuse at the highest levels of power.

DECLARATION OF NULLITY:

I, Samantha Korich, acting under lawful public authority and on behalf of the victims whose voices were silenced, declare the NPA to be void ab initio, aka void from the

beginning. Its contents are not only unconstitutional, but unethical and incompatible with any just society. To protect the predators and leave the most vulnerable to suffer is wrong on so many levels but overall, it's a crime against humanity.

This declaration shall stand unless rebutted in full and publicly, including a full release of all protected predator names, no matter their political affiliation, and all evidence returned back to the victims so they may seek true justice for the first time. Silence is acknowledgment. The burden is now on the system to correct itself.

Section 5: Threats to the People (Video Evidence & Troop Deployment)

Following his resignation by conduct and statement, the former President proceeded to engage in conduct that can only be described as psychologically threatening and morally disqualifying. In one such instance, he published a video in which he appeared aboard a military aircraft, wearing a gold crown, while defecating or dropping dangerous substances on civilians from the sky. This act, whether literal or symbolic, represents a violent gesture of contempt toward the very people he was elected to serve.
This behavior occurred in tandem with his past orders to deploy armed forces into peaceful American towns, including the activation of federal troops in response to nonviolent protest. These actions amount to civil intimidation, abuse of military power, and an overt show of hostility toward the citizenry.

LEGAL & MORAL ANALYSIS:

- Under 18 U.S.C. § 2384, any conspiracy to injure or oppress the people is considered seditious.
- Under the Geneva Convention and common humanitarian standards, psychological warfare and humiliation tactics, including those symbolized through media, are considered violations of human dignity.
- Public satire used by an acting Commander-in-Chief, particularly when paired with violent imagery, is not protected speech; it is evidence of unfitness, dereliction, and active threat.

This section affirms that these threats were not metaphorical to the public, they were real, felt, recorded, and experienced. Trauma was created. Fear was invoked. Trust was severed.

It is the duty of the people to document such actions and reject them fully. I do so here, on behalf of every soul who watched and felt powerless in the face of such abuse.

Your voices were heard. We are not powerless anymore.

Section 6: Mental & Physical Health Neglect

Even though the very foundation of our country revolves around "the pursuit of happiness". One of the most blatant failures of the current and prior administrations has been the systemic neglect of the people's mental and physical health causing immeasurable suffering. From the lack of access to care, to the over-medicalization of trauma, to the criminalization of neurodivergence and poverty, school systems projecting and implementing generational. This neglect has caused immeasurable harm.

GENERATIONAL TRAUMA:

The system has passed down mental illness, untreated grief, and chronic stress from generation to generation, while disguising it as personal failure. Suicide rates, addiction, and untreated trauma have skyrocketed. These are not isolated issues. They are a direct result of governance that prioritizes profit over people and a system that was meant to keep you broken and profitable as opposed to helping you heal and achieve your pursuit of happiness.

PHYSICAL REALITY:

Millions live without stable housing. Many more cannot afford basic healthcare. The

most vulnerable: children, the elderly, and the disabled, are routinely overlooked or profited off of. Physical health is inseparable from emotional stability. By failing to address either, the government has failed entirely in its mandate to protect the welfare of the people.

CONSTITUTIONAL DUTY:

- The Preamble to the Constitution promises to "promote the general Welfare." That promise has been broken.
- Under natural law and common sense, no government may be considered legitimate if it knowingly permits the mass suffering of its people.

This section stands as evidence that the neglect was not random, it was a pattern. The people were not broken. The system was. And I, Samantha Korich, step forward not just to fill a seat, but to prioritize healing as a lawful obligation.

Mental health is not optional. It is the foundation of every lawful, moral, and livable society.

Section 7: Illegality of Satire from the Commander-in-Chief

There is a categorical difference between free speech and weaponized performance by a sitting official under Oath. The former President repeatedly used satire, trolling, and mockery as tools of obfuscation, distortion, and misdirection. This includes public speeches laced with sarcasm, ambiguous threats, and deliberately confusing signals that destabilized public understanding and reflected from subjects of importance with language loops and misdirection.

This is not comedy. This is a violation of public trust.

When a Commander-in-Chief behaves in ways that appear to make a mockery of war, trauma, or responsibility, the people suffer the consequences. Children do not know if

they are safe. Soldiers do not know if orders are serious. Civilians are left unsure if policy is performance or law. He also leaves the door open to do things like resign from his position of power leaving the people vulnerable on unacceptable levels.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK:

- Under the Presidential Records Act and duties of office, all statements made while in office are to be considered part of official record.
- Satire from a civilian is protected speech. Satire from a sitting Commander-in-Chief that causes harm, instability, or fear is not protected speech.

ACCOUNTABILITY:

When an official refuses to clarify their intent, and instead leans into ambiguity, they forfeit the benefit of the doubt. This tactic is psychological warfare, and its deployment by a nation's leader in the manner he chose to is a breach of civic responsibility and lawful conduct.

The presidency is not a stage. It is not a means of entertainment or individual gain. It is a solemn seat of trust.

This section affirms: Satire, mockery, trolling, and vague threats are not valid tools of governance. And no one, regardless of position, is above the law or exempt from clarity when speaking in public office. Especially when making declarations to the people's military forces.

Section 8: Wordplay, Legalese, and Political Theater as Manipulation

The language of the legal and political systems has been deliberately engineered to confuse, intimidate, and obscure the truth from the people it claims to represent. Terms like "sweetheart deal," "pursuit of happiness," and "understand" are not neutral—they are traps. Words mean things and in law they can mean more than the average person

realizes. It was designed to be this way.

SYSTEMIC OBSCURITY:

Legalese creates barriers of entry so that only "professionals" can navigate systems meant for all. Meanwhile, common words are used in ways that distort their meaning. "Justice" becomes delayed punishment. "Pursuit of Happiness" becomes something we chase but never achieve. "Understand" means to be under the authority of the claimant.

TRUTH IN WORDS:

- "Pursuit of happiness" implies an endless chase, not a guaranteed right. This should be corrected to the achievement of happiness.
- "Sweetheart deal" sounds harmless, but it deflects from the actual, deeply unethical and illegal agreement, which was called "the co-conspirator clause" and was the hidden immunity for trafficking rings and is the driving force of maintaining the Epstein NPA. They don't call it by the proper name so he doesn't have to acknowledge it as the same thing.
- "Understand" in legal terms often means to stand under authority, which can imply compliance or subjugation.

PSYCHOLOGICAL EFFECT:

These word tricks are not harmless. They create shame, confusion, and disempowerment. People lose confidence in their voice, their knowledge, and their ability to act lawfully. That confusion serves those in power and keeps the people in malleable to their will.

This affidavit affirms: The use of wordplay, legalese, and theater through state actors to manipulate the people is unlawful, immoral, and disqualifying for any system claiming to serve justice.

Section 9: Indoctrination from Childhood

From the moment we are born, we are taught to obey systems we do not understand. Children are taught pledges before they learn critical thinking. They are given flags, mascots, and slogans before they are given tools for mental health, emotional intelligence, or lawful agency and self-stability.

EDUCATION OR CONDITIONING?

- Schools teach conformity, obedience, and standardized testing.
- Critical thinking, civic power, and emotional awareness are rarely prioritized.
- Real history is censored. Government misconduct is minimized or rewritten.

The result is not education. It is indoctrination. Mental warfare. It is preparation for participation in a rigged reality.

EARLY COMPLIANCE:

Children learn to follow rules, memorize patriotic verses, and accept punishment without question. When those children become adults, they carry the same blind faith into voting booths, courtrooms, and workplaces. That is not consent. That is conditioning. Were taught not to look at history and those who question the narrative are retaliated against.

LAWFUL HARM:

A society built on compulsory participation without full knowledge is not free. It is manipulated. And those born into it cannot be blamed for their participation because they were never shown the truth.

This section affirms: indoctrination is not just a moral failure. It is a legal injury. The people were not raised with lawful clarity. They were raised in shadow and punished for not seeing. It ends now.

Section 10: Rejection of the "Understand" Trap

In legal systems, the word "understand" has been weaponized. To the average person, it means to comprehend. But in legal contexts, it can be interpreted as standing under another's authority, a subtle but powerful shift that implies submission. Just as the former president attempted to do in the same sentence he was resigning. This raises another level of concern on its own. This intent is in question.

This is not accidental. It is part of a larger linguistic framework designed to entrap individuals into unwitting compliance, often without their informed consent. When a judge or officer asks, "Do you understand?", and a citizen answer "yes," they may unknowingly waive rights, accept charges, or concede jurisdiction.

WORDS MATTER:

- In maritime and admiralty law, legal interpretation of "understand" has been challenged as coercive and misleading.
- Sovereign citizens and human rights scholars have long flagged this phrase as a semantic trap.

- Your words can also be your means to freedom.

I DO NOT STAND UNDER:

This section formally rejects the implied submission contained within coerced "understanding."

I do not stand under this broken system.

I do not stand under those who abandoned their duties.

I stand beside the people, and I stand in truth.

This section affirms that lawful consent must be informed, explicit, and freely given. Anything less is manipulation. Anything else is a breach of human dignity

and lawful procedure.

Section 11: Restoration of the People's Sovereignty

The people have never truly lost their power, it has only been obscured, and buried beneath paperwork, fear, and the illusion of complexity. But sovereignty does not expire. It can be ignored, but it cannot be destroyed. Inalienable rights are inalienable, they cannot be taken away. They couldn't even be given away if they wanted to.

SOVEREIGNTY BY DESIGN:

The original documents of this country affirm that power resides in the people. The Declaration of Independence, the Constitution, and even international law support the idea that governments derive their power from the consent of the governed.

That consent has now been withdrawn. The people were never given full truth. The system broke its contract. And in accordance with the law of equity, the people have the right, and the duty, to correct it.

FOUNDATIONAL TRUTHS:

- Sovereignty is not permission. It is a birthright.
- Governments exist to serve the people. Not the reverse.
- Where harm has occurred, correction is lawful.

This section affirms that sovereignty is now restored. not through violence, but through truth. Not through coups, but through documentation, declaration, and public alignment. This is what a peaceful revolution looks like.

The people do not need to ask permission to reclaim what was always theirs. We do not ask. We declare.

Section 12: Disconnection from Maritime Law Jurisdiction

For too long, the people of this land have been ruled under an invisible framework, one not of the soil, but of the sea. Maritime and admiralty law, originally intended for ships and international commerce, has been subtly extended onto land through a system of birth certificates, capital-letter legal fictions, and legal presumption.

This is not the law of the people. It is the law of corporations.

EVIDENCE OF CONVERSION:

- Citizens are issued certificates of live birth, not living declarations, turning them into legal entities.
- Courtrooms display gold-fringed flags symbolizing maritime jurisdiction, not civil law.
- Legal persons (in ALL CAPS) are treated as vessels of commerce, not sovereign beings.

THE FRAUD OF THE SEA:

Under maritime law, the presumption is that the individual is a vessel, adrift and subject to contract, cargo, and salvage. This doctrine has no place ruling over living men, women, and children on land.

This section formally severs all presumption of maritime jurisdiction over the author of this affidavit. I, Samantha Korich, am not a vessel. I am not lost at sea. I am not a fiction. I am a living woman, on the land, acting in honor and under Creator-given authority.

This is my lawful declaration. I reject any system that claims jurisdiction over my life without informed consent. The land is not under water. The people are not property.

Section 13: Declaration Standing Until Legally Disproved in Full

This affidavit is not a suggestion. It is not a plea. It is not a theory.

It is a lawful, public declaration made under penalty of perjury, in response to an open resignation by the former President, and in recognition of an unguarded seat during national crisis. It is filed not as an act of rebellion but as a final act of responsibility. In short, it is a declaration in response to his declaration. It now stands as truth.

LEGAL STANDING:

- This document shall stand as lawful unless fully rebutted point-by-point in a court of law, under penalty of perjury.
- The facts herein are grounded in public record, constitutional law, natural rights, and moral obligation.

SIGNED AUTHORITY:

I am not the President because I wanted to be. I am the President because no one else answered the call. And I will not cling to that title longer than needed — I hold it only until a lawful, people-based structure takes its place.

This is not only a peaceful revolution but a peaceful restoration. It is a message of hope, truth, and final realignment.

To the former President: I accept your resignation.

To the people: I accept this responsibility until you tell me otherwise.

To the systems of power: I see through you, I do not fear you. You work for me now.

To the Creator, and to history:

It is done.

I end this affidavit with a reassertion of a declaration, a declaration of independence from all oppressors:

The unanimous Declaration of the United States of America, when in the Course of human events, it becomes necessary for one people to dissolve the political bands which have connected them with another, and to assume among the powers of the earth, the separate and equal station to which the Laws of Nature and of Nature's Creator entitle them, a decent respect to the opinions of all-kind requires that they should declare the causes which impel them to the separation.

We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all humans are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the achievement of Happiness.--That to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among the people, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed, --That whenever any Form of Government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the obligation and Right of the People to alter or to abolish it, and to institute new Government, laying its foundation on such principles and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their Safety and Happiness. Prudence, indeed, will dictate that Governments long established should not be changed for light and transient causes; and accordingly, **all experience hath shewn, that humankind are more disposed to suffer, while evils are sufferable, than to right themselves by abolishing the forms to which they are accustomed. But when a long train of abuses and usurpations, pursuing invariably the same Object evinces a design to reduce them under absolute Despotism, it is their right, it is their duty, to throw off such Government, and to provide new Guards for their future security. --Such has been the patient sufferance of these states; and such is now the necessity which constrains them to alter their former Systems of Government**. The history of our oppressors both foreign and domestic is a history of repeated injuries and usurpations, all having in direct object the establishment of an absolute Tyranny over these States. To prove this, let Facts be submitted to a candid world:

- He has refused his Assent to Laws, the most wholesome and necessary for the public good.

- He has forbidden his Governors to pass Laws of immediate and pressing importance, unless suspended in their operation till his Assent should be obtained; and when so suspended, he has utterly neglected to attend to them.
- He has refused to pass other Laws for the accommodation of large districts of people, unless those people would relinquish the right of Representation in the Legislature, a right inestimable to them and formidable to tyrants only.
- **He has called together legislative bodies at places unusual, uncomfortable, and distant from the depository of their public Records, for the sole purpose of fatiguing them into compliance with his measures of manipulation.**
- He has dissolved Representative Houses repeatedly, for opposing with ignorant firmness his invasions on the rights of the people.
- He has refused for a long time, after such dissolutions, to cause others to be elected; whereby the Legislative powers, incapable of Annihilation, have returned to the People at large for their exercise; the State remaining in the meantime exposed to all the dangers of invasion from without, and convulsions within.
- He has endeavored to prevent the population of these States; for that purpose, obstructing the Laws for Naturalization of Foreigners; refusing to pass others to encourage their migrations hither, and raising the conditions of new Appropriations of Lands and attacking peaceful citizens of foreign decent
- He has obstructed the Administration of Justice, by refusing his Assent to Laws for establishing Judiciary powers.
- He has made Judges dependent on his Will alone, for the tenure of their offices, and the amount and payment of their salaries.
- **He has erected a multitude of New Offices, and sent hither swarms of Officers to harass our people, and eat out their substance.**
- **He has kept among us, in times of peace, Standing Armies without the Consent of our legislatures.**
- **He has affected to render the Military independent of and superior to the Civil power.**

- **He has combined with others to subject us to a jurisdiction foreign to our constitution, and unacknowledged by our laws; giving his Assent to their Acts of pretended Legislation:**
- **For Quartering large bodies of armed troops among us:**
- **For protecting them, by a mock Trial, from punishment for any Murders which they should commit on the Inhabitants of these States:**
- **For cutting off our Trade with all parts of the world:**
- **For imposing Taxes on us without our Consent:**
- **For depriving us in many cases, of the benefits of Trial by Jury:**
- **For transporting us beyond Seas to be tried for pretended offences:**
- **For abolishing the free System of English Laws in a neighboring Province, establishing therein an Arbitrary government, and enlarging its Boundaries so as to render it at once an example and fit instrument for introducing the same absolute rule into these Colonies:**
- **For taking away our Charters, abolishing our most valuable Laws, and altering fundamentally the Forms of our Governments:**
- **For suspending our own Legislatures, and declaring themselves invested with power to legislate for us in all cases whatsoever.**
- **He has abdicated Government here, by declaring us out of his Protection and waging War against us.**
- **He has plundered our seas, ravaged our Coasts, burnt our towns, and destroyed the lives of our people.**
- **He is at this time transporting large Armies of foreign Mercenaries to complete the works of death, desolation and tyranny, already begun with circumstances of Cruelty & perfidy scarcely paralleled in the most barbarous ages, and totally unworthy the Head of a civilized nation.**

- He has constrained our fellow Citizens taken Captive on the high Seas to bear Arms against their Country, to become the executioners of their friends and Brethren, or to fall themselves by their Hands.
- **He has excited domestic insurrections amongst us.**
- In every stage of these Oppressions, we have Petitioned for Redress in the humblest terms possible: Our repeated Petitions have been answered only by repeated injury. **Anyone, whose character is thus marked by every act which may define a Tyrant, is unfit to be the ruler of a free people.**

Nor have We been wanting in attentions to our foreign brethren. **We have warned them from time to time of attempts by their legislature to extend an unwarrantable jurisdiction over us.** We have reminded them of the circumstances of our emigration and settlement here. We have appealed to their native justice and magnanimity, and we have conjured them by the ties of our common kindred to disavow these usurpations, which, would inevitably interrupt our connections and correspondence. They too have been deaf to the voice of justice and of consanguinity. We must, therefore, acquiesce in the necessity, which denounces our Separation, and hold them, as we hold the rest of humankind, Enemies in War, in Peace Friends.

We, therefore, the Representatives of the united States of America, in General Congress, Assembled, appealing to the Supreme Judge of the world for the rectitude of our intentions, do, in the Name, and by Authority of the good People of these States, solemnly publish and declare, That these United States of America, in their full rights, are Free; that we are Absolved from all Allegiance to the British Crown, and that all political connection between them and the State of Great Britain and all other forms of oppression between any and all other foreign influence, is totally dissolved; and that as Free people, we have full Power to levy War, conclude Peace, contract Alliances, establish Commerce, and to do all other Acts and Things which Independent States may of right do. And for the support of this Declaration, with a firm reliance on the protection of divine Providence, we mutually pledge to each other our Lives, our humanity and our sacred Honor.

Legal Summary of All 13 Sections

Section 1: Legal Resignation and Non-Rebuttal of Administration, Cites: U.S. Constitution Art II §1, Federal Vacancies Reform Act (5 U.S.C. § 3345), Perkins (1886), Marbury v. Madison (1803)

Section 2: Constitutional Grounds for Stepping into the Seat, Cites: Declaration of Independence, Luther v. Borden (1849), common law necessity doctrine

Section 3: Dangers of a Power Vacuum, Cites: 18 U.S.C. § 2385, federal duty to prevent insurrection via abandonment

Section 4: Legal Nullification of the NPA & Co-Conspirator Clause, Cites: CVRA (18 U.S.C. § 3771), prosecutorial misconduct, void ab initio doctrine

Section 5: Threats to the People (Video Evidence & Troop Deployment), Cites: 18 U.S.C. § 2384, Geneva Convention principles, symbolic warfare analysis

Section 6: Mental & Physical Health Neglect, Cites: Constitutional preamble ('general Welfare'), natural law duty of care

Section 7: Illegality of Satire from the Commander-in-Chief, Cites: Presidential Records Act, distinction between protected speech and official misconduct

Section 8: Wordplay, Legalese, and Political Theater as Manipulation, Cites: interpretation of 'understand', equity law, linguistic coercion doctrine

Section 9: Indoctrination from Childhood, Cites: public trust doctrine, lawful consent requirements, education vs. conditioning

Section 10: Rejection of the 'Understand' Trap, Cites: Admiralty/Maritime interpretive warnings, sovereignty rebuttals

Section 11: Restoration of the People's Sovereignty, Cites: Declaration of Independence, consent of the governed doctrine

Section 12: Disconnection from Maritime Law Jurisdiction, Cites: contract law, vessel fiction theory, birth certificate as bond argument

Section 13: Declaration Standing Until Legally Disproved, Cites: penalty of perjury, rebuttable affidavit standard, equity maxims ('He who does not deny, admits') He and his administration resigned, I accepted, I filled the empty seat on behalf of the people. It is done.

This stand as truth unless every single individual point in this document has addressed and legally disproven. The burden of proof falls on the rebut not the declaration. This is proper procedure in the court of law.

Formal rebuttal address:

946 Southwest Veterans Way #102, PMB 217

Redmond Oregon, 97756

Instructions to formally rebut are provided in following section

Notary: _____ Date: 10/23/25

Witness: _____ Date: 10/23/25

OFFICIAL STAMP
JESSA LINNAE DAVIS
NOTARY PUBLIC-OREGON
COMMISSION NO. 1057037
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MARCH 11, 2029

_____

Samantha Korich

Commander in Chief, Legal President of the United States, Chief facilitator of the People's Will.

Signed and declared under penalty of perjury

October 23, 2025

It is time for healing, it is time for peace. The people are free.

Witnessing or Attesting a Signature

State of OREGON

County of Deschutes

Signed (or attested) before me on (date) October 23rd, 20 25

by (name(s) of individual(s)) Samantha Karich.

Notary Public - State of Oregon

Official Stamp

OFFICIAL STAMP
JESSA LINNAE DAVIS
NOTARY PUBLIC-OREGON
COMMISSION NO. 1057037
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES MARCH 11, 2029

CIAL STAMP
INNAE DAVIS
UBLIC-OREGON
ON NO. 1057037
ES MARCH 11, 2029

Document Description

This certificate is attached to page 24 of a Affidavit of Truth and Public Mandate. (title or type of document), dated October 23rd, 20 25, consisting of 29 pages.

Certified Mail Notice and Public Mailing Addresses:

All certified mail, rebuttals, or legal notices pertaining to my sworn affidavit, public declarations, or lawful filings must be directed to the address listed below. This location serves as my official public contact point for lawful and time-sensitive communications.

Official Mailing Address:

946 Southwest Veterans Way #102, PMB 217

Redmond, Oregon 97756

This mailing address is hereby designated for receipt of:

Certified mail related to legal proceedings,

Rebuttal court filings to sworn affidavit claims,

administrative responses or Freedom of Information Act replies,

Appeals or lawful counter-statements,

any public or lawful notification requiring acknowledgement, if my affidavit is not accepted for any reason, at any point, it needs to be formally rebutted in a court of law for public speculation.

Failure to send a certified response to this address within the lawfully allotted time shall be interpreted as:

Failure to rebut

Tacit agreement

Default by acquiescence.

The address also serves as a public submission point for supporting parties who wish to mail in their notarized letters of acceptance, agreements, or public endorsements of my standing, claim, and lawful declarations on behalf of the people. All such documents will be retained for public record and may be referenced in future filings, affidavits, or notices of lawful standing.

I will be mailing a notarized copy to the White house as well as giving one with proof of certified mail to the county clerk. I intend to mail several other copies of this notarized document via certified mail to multiple other interested parties (as many as my funds will allow). There will be copies of this affidavit submitted into public record, as well as uploaded into online

archives and copies being handed out in person, I also intend to make copies of this public mandate in the public libraries, so they can be readily available to anyone and everyone. Either in support or to give best chances of rebuttal.

There will be public log of 1.the parties who affidavit was sent to 2. the response or lack of.

Affidavit will be sent this day to:

The White House

1600 Pennsylvania Ave NW,

Washington, DC 20500

Affidavit will be hand delivered this day to:

Deschutes County Clerk Office

1300 NW Wall St STE 202,

Bend, OR 97703

I intend to send further notice to:

1. Supreme Court of the United States (SCOTUS)

2. U.S. Department of Justice – Office of Professional Responsibility (OPR)

3. United Nations Office on Human Trafficking

4. Office of Government Ethics (OGE)

5. National Archive – Presidential Records Section

6. Library of Congress – Legal Deposits Division

7. The Washington Post Investigative Desk

8. The New York Times – Justice Correspondent

By publishing this affidavit into the public domain, including mail, clerk, or verified social forums, it becomes constructive notice.

Constructive notice is legally recognized whether or not a specific party claims to have read it.

Legal Authority to File an Affidavit or Declaration

1. 28 U.S.C. § 1746 — Unsworn Declarations Under Penalty of Perjury

Allows any person to submit a written statement or declaration in place of a sworn affidavit, provided it is signed and dated "under penalty of perjury." This gives full legal effect to an affidavit without needing a notary.

2. 18 U.S.C. § 1001 — Statements or Entries Generally

Establishes that knowingly falsifying or concealing material facts in any document filed with the government is a crime, which affirms that truthful filings (like affidavits) are protected and legally recognized.

3. 28 U.S.C. § 1652 — Laws as Rules of Decision (Common Law Recognition)

Recognizes that common law—including affidavits and sworn statements—remains valid in U.S. courts unless specifically displaced by statute.

4. Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 43(c) & Rule 56(e)

Affidavits and declarations are lawful evidentiary tools in federal proceedings. Rule 56(e) specifically outlines that affidavits must be made on personal knowledge, establishing them as legal instruments for the record.

5. Federal Rules of Evidence, Rule 902(8)

Acknowledges documents accompanied by a notarial seal or sworn statement as self-authenticating, meaning clerks and courts must accept them into the record without needing additional proof.

6. 5 U.S.C. § 552 — Freedom of Information Act (Public Record Access)

Confirms that filings and public affidavits become part of the accessible public record unless restricted by specific exemption.

7. U.S. Constitution, Amendment I — Right to Petition for Redress of Grievances

Guarantees your right to submit lawful written grievances, statements, or declarations to your government. An affidavit is a protected exercise of this right.

8. U.S. Constitution, Amendment IX — Reservation of Rights to the People

Affirms that rights not specifically enumerated (such as the right to make sworn statements of truth) are still retained by the people.

9. U.S. Constitution, Amendment X — Powers Reserved to the States or the People

Protects the people's power to establish lawful records and declarations when government officials fail to act or respond.

10. State Statutes (varies by state, but generally mirrors federal recognition)

Every U.S. state allows sworn statements to be filed with clerks or recorders. For example:

Oregon Revised Statutes § 194.505–194.535 — defines lawful notarization and acceptance of affidavits.

California Code of Civil Procedure § 2003 — defines an affidavit as a written declaration under oath.

Florida Statutes § 92.525 — allows unsworn written declarations under penalty of perjury.